

Product Registration Fees

- Law passed in 2003 allows DPR to set fees to cover the costs of registration activities
- Department has broad authority to adjust fees and set the fee schedule to ensure that program costs are covered
- One of our purposes today is to discuss how and when we do this



Product Registration Fees

Registration fees can include but are not limited to:

- 66 (1) Annual fees for each product submitted for registration
- (2) Penalties for the late payment of registration fees
- 66 (3) Fees for amendments to registered products



Registration Process Costs

- Costs encompass more than the Registration Branch
- Includes registering new products and renewing existing registrations
- Does not include risk assessment



Registration *Process* Costs

- Business functions such as correspondence intake, indexing scientific studies, label coding, and maintaining pesticide data library
- Reviewing applications and label amendments for registration
- Scientific & technical evaluation
- Issuing registration licenses



Current Fees

- Fees set at \$750 for renewal or new registration
- \$100 fee for label amendments that require data evaluation
- Also increased late penalties
- Based on best available data in 2003



Registration Workload Outputs

	FY 03/04	FY 04/05	FY 05/06 (projected)
Number of submissions	6,082	5,390	6,000
Number entered evaluation	1,095	1,034	1,095
Total actions taken	7,474	6,584	5,679
# of new active ingredients	36	30	60



Registration Workload Outputs

	CY 2003	CY 2004	CY 2005 (est.)
Products renewed	10,703	10,108	10,000
Section 18s approved	19	14	15
SLNs approved	14	27	20
Research authorizations	554	557	550



Registration Costs and Revenue

	FY 04/05	FY 05/06 (est.)	FY 06/07 (projected)
Registration fee revenue	\$ 9,011	\$ 9,011	\$ 9,011
Registration expenditures	\$ 10,642	\$ 11,765	\$11,944

(dollars in thousands)



DPR Proposal

- Evaluate and revise fees on a three-year cycle
- Maintain costs/revenue within 5 to 10%
- We would continue to track and report on costs/revenue through the 2007-08 year
- Adjustments would occur in 2009



Key Areas for Input

- DPR's fee schedule and adjustment criteria
 - Is the fee schedule adequate?
 - Is review cycle adequate?



Key Areas for Input

- Alternative fee proposals
 - Must be specific
 - If you recommend lowering some fees then some others must be increased to offset the lost revenue
 - Must generate the necessary revenue



Key Areas for Input

 Are there registration functions for which we should be charging that we are not?



Next Steps

Submit comments by June 1, 2006 to

feeinput@cdpr.ca.gov

